

British Horse Society Cambridgeshire (BHS Cambs) comments on the Applications responses (REP2-044) to its Written Representation (REP1-098)

Note that our WR is misdescribed in the Examination Library index and is stated to be comments on RRs rather than a Written Representation

The Applicant's response to our WR is set out in Table 9 of its response document and amounts to a complete refusal of our and CCC's request.

The Applicant does not appear to understand that the provision of additional public rights of way is one of the relatively few ways in which it can mitigate the adverse impact of the development on local communities. Permissive access has been offered but (with one exception in Site B in Bedfordshire) this additional access is for walkers only.

The BHS position, which we believe to be supported by CCC, is that such permissive access should be available to all members of the community – walkers, off-road cyclists and equestrians as all member of the community suffer the adverse effects of the development.

We continue to press for equestrian access to be included within the proposed additional access in Site C. The five comments we made about such access stand (points 2 and 3 have been combined within Table 9).